

Figure S1. Expression of *Notch1* and *Notch2* mRNA and absence of detectable *Notch3/4* transcripts in naïve and alloreactive T cells.

Naïve CD44<sup>low</sup>CD62L<sup>hi</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were sort-purified from B6 mice. Alloreactive T cells were purified as donor-derived H2Kb<sup>+</sup>H2Kd<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from the spleen of BALB/c allo-BMT recipient mice at day 5 after transplantation. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed. (a) Absolute quantification of *Notch1* and *Notch2* mRNA using a titration curve generated from known amounts of each PCR product. This allowed for direct comparison of *Notch1* and *Notch2* transcript abundance. Data are expressed as arbitrary units after normalization to *Hprt1* mRNA. (b) Relative quantification of *Notch3* transcripts using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. Lineage<sup>-</sup>CD44<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>hi</sup> "double negative 3" (DN3) B6 thymocytes were used as a positive control for *Notch3* expression. (c) Relative quantification of *Notch4* transcripts using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. I-A<sup>b+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> spleen dendritic cells (DC) were used as a positive control for *Notch4* expression. Each symbol represents data obtained from one individual mouse. Relative rather than absolute quantification was performed in (b) and (c), as *Notch3* and *Notch4* amplicons were not or only barely detectable in T cells.



### Figure S2. Anti-N1 and anti-N2 monoclonal antibodies block Notch signaling efficiently and specifically in vivo.

Adult B6 mice received anti-N1, anti-N2 or an isotype control antibody by i.p. injection (5 mg/kg, twice weekly for 2 weeks). On day 14, mice were euthanized for flow cytometric analysis of thymocytes and splenocytes. (a) Abundance of Notch1-dependent  $CD4^+CD8^+$  double positive (DP) thymocytes. (b) Percentage of Notch2-dependent  $CD21^{hi}CD23^{low}$  marginal zone B (MZB) cells among mature  $B220^+AA4.1^-$  splenic B cells. Representative flow cytometry plots are shown. Numbers indicate the percentage of cells in each quadrant. Bar graphs represent mean +/– SD (n=3/group from one of three representative experiments). \*\*p<0.01.



### Figure S3. *Notch1* and *Notch2* exert cell-autonomous effects on cytokine production in alloreactive CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.

Lethally irradiated BALB/c mice (900 rads) were infused with TCD BM and WT, DNMAML, *Notch1<sup>ff</sup> Cd4-Cre*<sup>+</sup> (N1 KO) or *Notch2<sup>ff</sup> Cd4-Cre*<sup>+</sup> (N2 KO) spleen T cells. Isotype control, anti-Notch1 or anti-Notch2 monoclonal antibodies were administered i.p. as indicated (5 mg/kg, day 0 and day 3). At day 4.5, splenocytes were restimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies followed by intracellular staining. (a) Percentage of IFN<sub>γ</sub><sup>+</sup> cells among donor-derived H2Kb<sup>+</sup>H2Kd<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; (b) Percentage of IL-2<sup>+</sup> cells among donor-derived CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; (c) Percentage of IFN<sub>γ</sub><sup>+</sup> cells among donor-derived CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Representative flow cytometry plots are shown. Numbers indicate the percentage of cells in each quadrant. Bar graphs represent mean +/– SD (n=3-5/group). \*\*p<0.01.



## Figure S4. Combined *Notch1* and *Notch2* inactivation inhibits cytokine production to a similar extent as DNMAML expression in alloreactive T cells.

Lethally irradiated BALB/c mice (900 rads) were infused with TCD BM and WT, DNMAML or *Notch1<sup>ff</sup>Notch2<sup>ff</sup> Cd4-Cre*<sup>+</sup> (N1/N2 DKO) spleen T cells. At day 5, splenocytes were restimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies followed by intracellular staining. (a) % IFN $\gamma^+$  cells in donor-derived H2Kb<sup>+</sup>H2Kd<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; (b) % IL-2<sup>+</sup> cells in donor-derived CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; (c) % IFN $\gamma^+$  cells in donor-derived CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Representative flow cytometry plots are shown. Numbers indicate the percentage of cells in each quadrant. Bar graphs represent mean +/– SD (n=4/group). \*\*p<0.01.



# Figure S5. Differential effects of Notch blockade in the intestine in steady-state conditions and after bone marrow transplantation.

BALB/c mice were treated with anti-N1/N2 antibodies in steady-state conditions without irradiation (**a**) or after total body irradiation (900 rads) and transplantation of B6 TCD BM (**b**). Periodic acid-Schiff/Alcian blue (PAS/AB) staining was used to identify goblet cells. As reported previously<sup>24</sup>, Notch1/Notch2 inhibition led to massive goblet cell hyperplasia in steady-state conditions (**a**). This was not seen after bone marrow transplantation, although the overall architecture of the intestinal epithelium was impaired by Notch blockade (**b**).



### Figure S6. Anti-Dll1 and anti-Dll4 monoclonal antibodies block Notch ligands efficiently and specifically in vivo.

Adult B6 mice received anti-Dll1, anti-Dll4 or an isotype control antibody by i.p. injection (5 mg/kg, twice weekly for 2 weeks). On day 14, mice were euthanized for flow cytometric analysis of thymocytes and splenocytes. (a) Percentage of Dll1-dependent CD21<sup>hi</sup>CD23<sup>low</sup> marginal zone B (MZB) cells among mature B220<sup>+</sup>AA4.1<sup>-</sup> splenic B cells. (b) Abundance of Dll4-dependent CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> double positive (DP) thymocytes. Representative flow cytometry plots are shown. Numbers indicate the percentage of cells in each quadrant. Bar graphs represent mean +/– SD (n=3/group from one of three representative experiments). \*\*p<0.01.



Day 40 post-BMT

# Figure S7. Representative examples of protection from clinical GVHD upon systemic Dll1/Dll4 blockade.

Lethally irradiated (850 rads) BALB/c mice were transplanted with TCD BM ( $5x10^6$  cells), with or without WT or DNMAML T cells ( $10x10^6$  splenocytes). Monoclonal antibodies were administered twice weekly as indicated (isotype control, anti-Dll1, anti-Dll4, anti-Dll1/Dll4). Pictures were taken at day 40 after transplantation.



Figure S8. Increased accumulation of donor-derived FoxP3<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells upon Dll1/Dll4 blockade after allo-BMT as revealed using a FoxP3-IRES-mRFP reporter allele.

Lethally irradiated BALB/c recipients (900 rads) were transplanted with TCD BM  $(5x10^6)$  and  $5x10^6$  splenocytes from B6 FoxP3-IRES-mRFP reporter mice (expressing mRFP under control of endogenous *Foxp3* regulatory sequences). Isotype control or anti-Dll1/4 antibodies were administered biweekly. On day 11, mRFP fluorescence was studied by flow cytometry among H-2Kb<sup>+</sup> donor-derived CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells or in host CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells as a negative control.



Figure S9. Splenocytes arising in anti-Dll1/Dll4-treated primary allogeneic transplant recipients do not transfer protection from GVHD in secondary recipients.

Lethally irradiated BALB/c recipients (900 rads) were transplanted with TCD BM ( $5x10^6$ ) and  $5x10^6$  B6 splenocytes. Isotype control or anti-Dll1/4 antibodies were administered biweekly (4 injections). On day 14, spleens from transplanted mice were harvested and mixed 1:1 (total of  $12x10^6$  splenocytes) with splenocytes from naïve wild-type (WT) B6 mice. Secondary transplantation: lethally irradiated BALB/c mice (900 rads) received either B6 TCD BM alone ("no T cells"), naïve WT B6 splenocytes + alloreactive isotype control-treated WT splenocytes ("WT T cells + isotype") or naïve WT B6 splenocytes + alloreactive anti-Dll1/4-treated WT splenocytes ("WT T cells + aDll1/4) (n=8 mice/group). Recipients were monitored over time for survival and GVHD severity. There was no significant difference in survival between recipients of isotype control and anti-Dll1/Dll4-treated alloreactive splenocytes (p=0.895).



## Figure S10. Dll1/Dll4 blockade does not impair alloresponsive NK cell function as revealed with an in vivo NK cell cytotoxicity assay.

BALB/c x B6 F1 mice (n=3/group) received istotype control antibodies or anti-Dll1/Dll4 antibodies at day -2. At day -1, mice were treated with PBS (**a**) or 200  $\mu$ g poly(I:C) to activate NK cell effector function (**b**). On day 0, mice received a 1:1 mixture of CFSE<sup>low</sup> F1 control splenocytes and CFSE<sup>hi</sup> BALB/c parental target splenocytes (6 x 10<sup>6</sup> each i.v.). Sixteen hours later, CFSE<sup>+</sup> cells were analyzed in the spleen by flow cytometry. Representative histograms are shown. Arrows point to CFSE<sup>hi</sup> BALB/c parental NK cell targets in poly(I:C)-treated mice; (**c**) Bar graph quantifying data presented in Figure S9a-b (mean +/- SD). Recovery of NK cell targets was significantly decreased in poly(I:C) (\*\*p<0.01). In vivo anti-hematopoietic NK cell cytotoxicity was not significantly different in mice treated with isotype control and anti-Dll1/Dll4 antibodies (p=0.81).



Figure S11. Anti-Dll1/Dll4 antibodies do not extend survival after T cell-depleted allo-BMT and challenge with host-type A20 tumor cells.

Lethally irradiated (900 rads) BALB/c mice were transplanted with TCD BM ( $5x10^6$  cells) and A20-TGL host-type tumor cells ( $10^6$ ). Monoclonal antibodies were administered twice weekly starting at day 0 for a total of four doses (isotype control vs. anti-Dll1/Dll4, n=10 mice/group). Progressive leukemia at the time of death was confirmed by necropsy or bioluminescence imaging in the majority of transplant recipients (Isotype control: 8/10 mice; anti-Dll1/Dll4: 7/10 mice).